P. 6449 COLUME 1

"...a ship flying the flag of one of our Allies was used to transport weapons of war to Guatemala."

COMMENT:

The SS ALFHEIM, which corried a shipment of arms to Guatemala on 15 May 1954 is a Swedish vessel. Sweden is not a member of MATO or COCAL nor does she receive economic or military aid from the U.S. Sweden is not an "ally" of the U.S. in the sense the term was used.

P. 6150 PARAGRAPH 1

approximately 10.000 tons of rubber a year. We can assume that
Russia's peacetime economy requires no more than that amount."

P. SLISS COLUMN 2 PARAGRAPH 12

mations at a time when their pencetime economy requires only 10.000 tons..."

COMMENT:

US Crude Rubber Imports (FOB)

Year	Meight Motric Tons	Value US \$ 000,000	
1949	69 9	249	
1950	879	475	
1951	784	823	
1952	854	63 5	
1953 *	<u>538</u>	<u>276</u>	
Àverage##	785	50 9	

^{*} Through September

^{** 1953} projected to 12 months in calculating average

Approved For Release 2001/08/15: CIA-RDP69-00642R000100190004-9

P. 6450 GOLUMN 2

"Senator Malone....We have continued to trade with foreign countries to an ever greater extent...What is there cold about (the war) when it stimulates trade with the potential enemy?"

COMMENT:

Trade between the west and the Soviet Bloc has actually decreased over the past six years, as indicated in Mr. Stassen's report of 17 May 1954.

Soviet Sloc Trado with the West, 1948-53 (\$US Million) (Total Trade Turnover)

1948	1949	<u>1950</u>	1951	1952	1953
3974.	3468.	3272.	356h.	3030.	2930.

P. 6450 COLUMN 2

"... Malaya, which....is under British control. sent 73.6
million Malayan dollars' worth of matural rubber to Russia
in 1951...in return it [Malaya] received just \$40.000
Malayan dollars' worth of materiel of which \$38.500 was in...
film. ... During the first 11 months of 1952...the USSR
imported 28.7 million Malayan dollars' worth of natural rubber
... In return for the Malayan rubber, \$27.000 worth of materiel
was received."

COMMENT:

Commerce statistics show the 1951 statistics to be essentially correct. The Commerce statistics, however, show \$27,000,000 <u>US dollars</u> of Malayan rubber exported to the USSR during the <u>year 1952</u>. No material was reported as received from the USSR.

The inference that the USSR received strategic rubber without payment—or for insignificant shipments of film in return—is erroneous as the rubber exports strengthened sterling area reserves or provided means of payment for imports from the Soviet Union to other parts of the British Empire.

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

P. 6454 CHILIMIN 1

In 1952...the owners of at least 35 of the 193 vessels which had carried cargoes to and from Communist Chine also owned vessels which carried United States government-financed goods.

15 out of the 85 vessels...carried cargo in or out of Red Chine and also carried United States government-financed cargoes."

COMMENT:

These figures were compiled by ONI for FOA/MDAC and were submitted to the McCarthy Committee staff on 21 April 1953. The figures are correct if the term "ownership" is construed in the widest possible sense to include all types of "beneficial ownership." We have no data concerning specific vessels that carried "U.S. government-financed goods", but a large number of shipping firms engaged in carrying such goods also provided ships for trade with Communist China.

On 19 February 1953, FOA slopted the Formona Clause which beared a vessel carrying FOA-financed goods from trading with Communist China for 60 days after taking cargo to Formosa. The clause was subsequently widened to include the whole Communist Far East.

P. 6454 COLUMN 3

"Because of tremendous transportation problems it is impossible for the USSE and her European satellites to supply China and Indo-China with war materials completely by land."

COMPUNT:

SE-37 concluded that "the present estimated maximum capacity of the inland transportation facilities serving Communist China is probably adequate to carry essential tonnage now seaborne plus essential traffic earried by land." The Director of Maval Intelligence, the Deputy Director for Intelligence of the Jaint Staff and later CINCPAC disagreed with this conclusion, feeling that a blockade would overburden the land transport system. There has been a sharp disagreement within the US intelligence community ever since over the capability of the internal Chinese transport system. There has been no disagreement, however, concerning the capability of the Trans-Siberian and connecting rail lines, which are adequate to supply China with all goods now sent by sea. CIA and the Department of State have taken the position that the internal Chinese transport system is also adequate to handle this burden.

Nearly all war material used by the Communists in Korea was transported by land routes and nearly all supplies used

P. 6454 Column 3

so far by the Communists in Indo-China have been transported overland. An overwhelming majority of all war material received so far by the Chinese Communists has been received from the Bloc by overland routes.

-2-

P. 6453 COUNTY 3

entering the Korean War...approximately 75% of the ships entering the ports of Red China flew the flags of Allies receiving American aid.

COMMENT:

Approximately 60-35% of the ship arrivals in Chinese Communist ports in 1951, 1952 and the first half of 1953 were ships in the registry of countries that received some sort of aid from the U.S. Figures for the last half of 1950 are not readily available but are probably in accord with those for the remainder of the Korean War period.

P. 6455 COLUMN 2

world, non-Communist nations control 78 million tons. If we deny that shipping to the Communists it will be a death blow to their war making power and the war in Indo-China will dry up and die over night."

COMENT:

We agree that Communist shipping totals about 3,000,000 tons (in vessels over 1,000CRT). It should be noted that the USSR does not now fully utilize the capacity of their deep water shipping and could probably place additional Soviet flag tonnage on the China run. However, it is an error to divide world shipping into only two segments, i.e., Communist Bloc and non-Communist. Instead, the total also includes a sizable tonnage which should be classified as neutral and consequently not subject to any controls. The latter amounts to approximately 3,000,000 tens divided among several nations with over 2,300,000 belonging to Sweden and over 550,000 to Finland. Therefore, if all "allied shipping" were denied the Bloc, it would still be possible for the Communists to double the size of their merchant fleet by chartering bottoms controlled by countries which are neither members of NATO nor subscribers to CCCOM.

-2-

P. 6455 Column 2

recipients of aid from the United States have little or no control over the operation of their flag vessels due to the distance from home government authorities and to the attitude of certain owners or operators. This is especially true for flag vessels in the China trade. Finally, even if all non-flow shipping were effectively denied the Communists, presently unused overland transport capability is sufficient to move all essential war imports to China and Indo-China. Denial of non-Communist shipping would not be "a death blow to their war making power."

P. (3454 COLUMN 3

Flag but ... owned by a British Corporation. The name of the vessel is the ARIETTA and its destination was the Communist port of Vesses in Red China... The manifest shows that the ship was carrying fertilizer... The ship could not stand inspection of that cargo of fertilizer.

COMMENT:

The ship ARIETTA of 7460 GRT is owned by a Greek Corporation and has a U.S. company acting as its agents. Its beneficial ownership is in U.K.

Information from Lloyds Shipping Index discloses that the ARIETTA left Middlesboro, England, on 12 Dec. 1953 for Wampos. It arrived at Hong Kong on 1 February 1954 and departed 12 February. Information from and CINCREIN indicates that the manifest correctly listed the cargo of some 10,000 tons of ammonium sulfate, a fertilizer, and that the cargo was off-louded at Hong Kong. The length of the stay at Hong Kong, twelve days, tends to confirm the U.S. Nevy reports.

There is no indication that the vessel carried war material.

25X1X